

ID: 396

## Microalgae as a Feed Source: Market Opportunities and Challenges for Future

Seyit Uguz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Biosystems Engineering, Bursa, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Yozgat Bozok University, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Department of Biosystems Engineering, Yozgat, Turkey

### Abstract

Microalgae are increasingly recognized as a valuable feed source, offering diverse applications in food, feed, and high-value products. Their high nutritional value, including proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins, and minerals, makes them an attractive and sustainable alternative for animal nutrition and aquaculture. Despite these advantages, challenges such as scalable production, economic viability, and regulatory frameworks must be addressed. Current research highlights the potential of microalgae as feed source to enhance animal health and performance, but further development in cultivation, harvesting techniques, and feed formulation for market opportunities and challenges. Optimizing the nutritional composition of microalgae for different species and production stages is crucial for their successful integration. Overcoming these challenges can revolutionize the animal feed industry, providing sustainable and nutrient-rich solutions.

**Key Words:** *Microalgae, animal feed, food, market*

### Introduction

Microalgae have garnered significant attention as a valuable feed source due to their diverse applications in food, feed, and high-value products. These microscopic organisms offer a promising alternative for various industries, including animal nutrition and aquaculture. Studies have highlighted the nutritional benefits of microalgae, showcasing their potential as a sustainable and nutrient-rich feed supplement (Ricigliano, 2020; Yaakob et al., 2014; Souza et al., 2021). Microalgae are rich in proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, vitamins, minerals, and other organic compounds, making them a comprehensive source of essential nutrients for animal feed (Souza et al., 2021).

Despite the numerous advantages of microalgae as a feed source, challenges exist in their widespread adoption. Commercial production of microalgae for food and animal feed is still in its early stages, indicating a need for further research and development to optimize production processes (Dixit et al., 2021). Techno-economic assessments have shown that while microalgae hold potential as a sustainable biomass source, they currently face challenges in competing with market prices for biofuels, animal feed inputs, and bulk chemicals (Dixon & Wilken, 2018). However, with advancements in research and technology, the utilization of microalgae in animal nutrition, aquaculture, and other industries is expected to grow (Ma, 2023; Han et al., 2019).

In Europe, experts have identified significant market opportunities for microalgae, including the production of bioplastics, biofertilizers, nutraceuticals, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, and biofuels (Rumin et al., 2020). The versatility of microalgae in providing valuable bioproducts and biofuels underscores their potential to contribute to sustainable energy resource development and reduce reliance on fossil fuels (Liber et al., 2020). Moreover, the high nutritional value, rapid growth rates, and low production costs of microalgae make them an attractive alternative to conventional feed sources like fishmeal, particularly in aquaculture (Maizatul et al., 2017).

### Nutritional Value of Microalgae As a Feed Source

Microalgae have gained recognition as a valuable and sustainable feed source due to their high nutritional value and diverse applications in various industries, particularly in animal nutrition and aquaculture. These microscopic organisms offer a rich source of essential nutrients such as lipids, proteins, carbohydrates, amino acids, and fatty acids, making them a promising feed supplement for aquaculture-cultivated fish and shrimps (Yaakob et al., 2021). The nutritional content and easy digestibility of microalgae have positioned them as live feed options in the aquaculture industry, contributing to the growth and health of aquatic organisms (Amenorfenyo et al., 2019). Recent studies have demonstrated that microalgae can serve as a comparable protein feed to traditional sources like soya bean meal in livestock and poultry production, highlighting their potential as a sustainable alternative feedstock (Saadaoui et al., 2021).

Microalgae are known for their enriched nutritional profile, containing proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants that are beneficial for animal health (Lopes et al., 2022; Uğuz and Sozcu, 2023, 2024).



The inclusion of microalgae in animal diets has shown improvements in feed utilization, milk production, growth performance, and meat quality in ruminants, underscoring their value as a feed ingredient (Kholif & Olafadehan, 2021). Additionally, microalgae offer essential amino acids, triglycerides, vitamins, and pigments that can enhance the nutritional quality of livestock feed formulations (Ahmad et al., 2022). The high crude protein concentrations and omega-3 fatty acids found in certain microalgae species make them attractive alternative feed resources for animals (Wild et al., 2018). Furthermore, microalgae have been explored as a sustainable source for human food, animal feed, and high-value products due to their high nutritional value and potential benefits since the 1950s (Sui & Vlaeminck, 2018). Their nutritional richness, including proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and pigments, has positioned microalgae as valuable resources for enhancing the nutrition of food and animal feedstock (Aslam et al., 2017). Studies have also highlighted the benefits of microalgae in fish nutrition, showcasing their potential as a nutrition-rich ingredient for fish feed applications (Annamalai et al., 2021). The nutritional value of microalgae as a feed source is increasingly recognized for its potential to improve animal health, enhance feed formulations, and contribute to sustainable practices in animal nutrition and aquaculture. The diverse array of essential nutrients present in microalgae underscores their significance as a promising feed supplement with broad applications across various industries.

### Global Market and Production Data of Microalgae Based Feed

The global market for microalgae-based feed is experiencing significant growth and interest due to the nutritional benefits and sustainable nature of microalgae as a feed source. Research has highlighted the potential industrial applications and commercialization of microalgae in the functional food and feed industries, emphasizing the bioactive compounds present in microalgal biomass, such as proteins, polyunsaturated fatty acids, carotenoids, vitamins, and minerals (Camacho et al., 2019). These compounds play crucial roles in functional food and feed products, offering various health benefits, including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and antiviral effects (Camacho et al., 2019).

Microalgae cultivation for feed production at an industrial scale has been demonstrated to be feasible and sustainable, creating opportunities for the utilization of microalgae as alternative feedstocks for livestock and poultry production (Saadaoui et al., 2021). The oil, protein, and antioxidant-rich microalgal biomass are commonly used as feed and food supplements in various forms such as pastes, powders, tablets, capsules, or flakes, designed for daily consumption (Kratzer & Murkovic, 2021). The high protein content of microalgae biomass makes it a valuable resource for aquafeed, animal feed, and poultry feed applications (Sarma et al., 2021).

In the realm of animal nutrition, microalgae have been explored as alternative feedstuffs for pig and poultry feeding, providing a potential substitute for conventional feedstuffs like corn and soybean (Chaves et al., 2021). The use of microalgae in monogastric feeds has shown promise in enhancing feed efficiency and modifying intermediary metabolisms in aquatic animals, underscoring their potential as functional feed additives (Perera et al., 2020). Additionally, microalgae species with high protein concentration and suitable amino acid composition can act as substitutes for conventional protein feeds, while species with high carbohydrate or lipid concentration can offer energy supplementation (Lamminen, 2021).

The global microalgae industry, particularly in China, significantly contributes to the production of microalgae biomass for various applications, with a substantial portion being utilized for human consumption as nutraceuticals and for animal feeds, primarily in marine aquaculture (Chen et al., 2015). The utilization of microalgae biomass as aquafeed is acknowledged as environmentally friendly and cost-effective, further propelling its adoption in the aquaculture sector (Annamalai et al., 2021). The global market for microalgae-based feed is rapidly expanding, driven by the nutritional value, sustainability, and diverse applications of microalgae in animal nutrition and aquaculture. The commercialization and industrial applications of microalgae in functional food and feed industries are paving the way for innovative and sustainable feed solutions that offer health benefits and environmental advantages.

### Challenges for Future

The future market challenges of utilizing microalgae as animal feed present a complex landscape that requires addressing various factors to ensure successful integration and adoption. As highlighted in recent research, the potential of microalgae as a sustainable and nutrient-rich feed source is promising, offering benefits such as enhanced animal health, improved feed efficiency, and environmental sustainability (Lum et al. 2013; Aslam et al., 2017). However, several challenges need to be navigated to maximize the utilization of microalgae in animal nutrition.

One of the key challenges facing the future market of microalgae-based animal feed is the need for scalable production methods to meet the increasing demand for feedstock in the livestock and aquaculture industries (Ahmad et al., 2022). While microalgae cultivation has shown potential, there are limitations in scaling up production to commercial levels efficiently and cost-effectively. Addressing issues related to cultivation systems,





nutrient availability, and harvesting techniques is crucial to ensure a reliable and sustainable supply of microalgae biomass for animal feed applications (Ahmad et al., 2022; Hemaiswarya et al., 2010).

Moreover, the economic viability of incorporating microalgae into animal feed formulations poses a significant challenge for market adoption. The cost-effectiveness of producing and processing microalgae biomass for feed applications needs to be optimized to compete with traditional feed sources economically (Zakaria et al., 2020). Techno-economic assessments and research into efficient production methods are essential to overcome cost barriers and make microalgae-based feed competitive in the market (Zakaria et al., 2020; Ahmad et al., 2022).

Another critical aspect that requires attention is the regulatory framework surrounding the use of microalgae in animal feed. As the industry evolves, regulations governing the production, processing, and labeling of microalgae-based feed products need to be established and harmonized to ensure consumer safety and product quality (Novoveská et al., 2019). Clear guidelines and standards will be essential to build trust in microalgae-based feed products and facilitate market acceptance (Novoveská et al., 2019).

Furthermore, research and development efforts are needed to optimize the nutritional composition of microalgae for specific animal species and production stages. Tailoring microalgae strains to meet the dietary requirements of different livestock and aquaculture species can enhance the efficacy of microalgae-based feed formulations and improve animal performance (Cabrita et al., 2022). Understanding the nutritional value and anti-nutritional factors of microalgae species is crucial for formulating balanced and effective feed products (Chen et al., 2022).

## Conclusion

In conclusion, while the potential of microalgae as a feed source for animals is promising, addressing challenges related to production scalability, cost-effectiveness, regulatory frameworks, and nutritional optimization is essential for the future market success of microalgae-based animal feed. Its high protein content makes it a valuable resource for animal feed, emphasizing its versatility and nutritional benefits. However, to fully realize these opportunities, several challenges must be addressed:

- **Scaling Production:** Efficient and cost-effective production methods, along with advancements in cultivation and harvesting, are essential to meet the increasing demand in the livestock and aquaculture industries.
- **Economic Viability:** Optimizing the economic viability of microalgae-based feed to compete with traditional feed sources is crucial.
- **Regulatory Frameworks:** Establishing clear regulatory guidelines for production, processing, and labeling is necessary to ensure consumer safety and product quality, thereby building trust and facilitating market acceptance.
- **Nutritional Optimization:** Research is needed to optimize the nutritional composition of microalgae for different animal species and production stages to enhance feed efficacy and improve animal performance.
- **In conclusion,** addressing these challenges is essential for the successful integration of microalgae-based feed in animal nutrition. By overcoming these hurdles, microalgae has the potential to revolutionize the animal feed industry, providing sustainable and nutrient-rich solutions for enhanced animal health and performance.

## References

- Ahmad, A., Hasan, S. W., & Banat, F. (2022). An overview of microalgae biomass as a sustainable aquaculture feed ingredient: food security and circular economy. *Bioengineered*, 13(4), 9521-9547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2022.2061148>
- Ahmad, A., Hasan, S. W., & Banat, F. (2022). An overview of microalgae biomass as a sustainable aquaculture feed ingredient: food security and circular economy. *Bioengineered*, 13(4), 9521-9547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2022.2061148>
- Amenorfenyo, D. K., Huang, X., Zhang, Y., Zeng, Q., Zhang, N., Ren, J., ... & Huang, Q. (2019). Microalgae brewery wastewater treatment: potentials, benefits and the challenges. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(11), 1910. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16111910>
- Annamalai, S. N., Das, P., Thaher, M., Quadir, M. A., Khan, S., Mahata, C., ... & Jabri, H. A. (2021). Nutrients and energy digestibility of microalgal biomass for fish feed applications. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13211. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132313211>
- Aslam, A., Mughal, T. A., Thomas-Hall, S. R., Schenk, P. M., Pervaiz, S. (2017). Protein quantification of mixed microalgae consortia under different concentration of Coal fired flue gas. *Int. J. Biosci.* Vol. 10, No. 2, p. 72-80.
- Cabrita, A. R., Guilherme-Fernandes, J., Valente, I. M., Lima, S. A. C., Fonseca, A. J., & Maia, M. R. (2022). Nutritional composition and untargeted metabolomics reveal the potential of *tetrademus obliquus*,



- chlorella vulgaris and nannochloropsis oceanica as valuable nutrient sources for dogs. *Animals*, 12(19), 2643. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12192643>
- Camacho, F. P., Macedo, Â. C., & Malcata, F. X. (2019). Potential industrial applications and commercialization of microalgae in the functional food and feed industries: a short review. *Marine Drugs*, 17(6), 312. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md17060312>
- Chaves, A. A. M., Martins, C. d. M. G., Carvalho, D. F. P., Ribeiro, D. M., Lordelo, M., Freire, J. P. B., ... & Almeida, A. M. (2021). A viewpoint on the use of microalgae as an alternative feedstuff in the context of pig and poultry feeding—a special emphasis on tropical regions. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 53(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-021-02800-5>
- Chen, F., Qian, J., He, Y., & Leng, Y. (2022). Could chlorella pyrenoidosa be exploited as an alternative nutrition source in aquaculture feed? a study on the nutritional values and anti-nutritional factors. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.1069760>
- Chen, J., Wang, Y., Benemann, J. R., Zhang, X., Hongjun, H., & Qin, S. (2015). Microalgal industry in china: challenges and prospects. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 28(2), 715-725. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-015-0720-4>
- Dixit, R. B., Sagaram, U. S., Gocher, C., Kumar, G., & Dasgupta, S. (2021). Biomolecular characterisation of marine microalga in comparison to fishmeal and soymeal as an alternative feed ingredient. *Phytochemical Analysis*, 33(3), 365-372. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.3094>
- Dixon, C. and Wilken, L. R. (2018). Green microalgae biomolecule separations and recovery. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-018-0199-3>
- Han, P., Lu, Q., Li, F., & Zhou, W. (2019). A review on the use of microalgae for sustainable aquaculture. *Applied Sciences*, 9(11), 2377. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9112377>
- Hemaiswarya, S., Raja, R., Kumar, R., Venkatesan, G., & Anbazhagan, C. (2010). Microalgae: a sustainable feed source for aquaculture. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 27(8), 1737-1746. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-010-0632-z>
- Kholif, A. E. and Olafadehan, O. A. (2021). chlorella vulgaris microalgae in ruminant nutrition: a review of the chemical composition and nutritive value. *Annals of Animal Science*, 21(3), 789-806. <https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2020-0117>
- Kratzer, R. and Murkovic, M. (2021). Food ingredients and nutraceuticals from microalgae: main product classes and biotechnological production. *Foods*, 10(7), 1626. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10071626>
- Lamminen, M. (2021). Nutritional value of microalgae for ruminants and implications from microalgae production. *CABI Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1079/pavsnnr202116054>
- Liber, J. A., Bryson, A. E., Bonito, G., & Du, Z. (2020). Harvesting microalgae for food and energy products. *Small Methods*, 4(10). <https://doi.org/10.1002/smt.202000349>
- Lopes, P. A., Coelho, D., & Prates, J. A. M. (2022). Testimony on a successful lab protocol to disrupt chlorella vulgaris microalga cell wall. *Plos One*, 17(5), e0268565. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268565>
- Lum, K., Kim, J., & Lei, X. G. (2013). Dual potential of microalgae as a sustainable biofuel feedstock and animal feed. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-4-53>
- Ma, M. and Hu, Q. (2023). Microalgae as feed sources and feed additives for sustainable aquaculture: prospects and challenges. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 16(2), 818-835. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12869>
- Maizatul, A. Y., Mohamed, R. M. S. R., & Hashim, M. K. A. (2017). An overview of the utilisation of microalgae biomass derived from nutrient recycling of wet market wastewater and slaughterhouse wastewater. *International Aquatic Research*, 9(3), 177-193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40071-017-0168-z>
- Novoveská, L., Ross, M. E., Stanley, M. S., Pradelles, R., Wasiolek, V., & Sassi, J. (2019). Microalgal carotenoids: a review of production, current markets, regulations, and future direction. *Marine Drugs*, 17(11), 640. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md17110640>
- Perera, E., Sánchez-Ruiz, D., Sáez, M. I. C., Galafat, A., Barany, A., Fernández-Castro, M., ... & Martos-Sitcha, J. A. (2020). Low dietary inclusion of nutraceuticals from microalgae improves feed efficiency and modifies intermediary metabolisms in gilthead sea bream (*sparus aurata*). *Scientific Reports*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75693-3>
- Ricigliano, V. A. (2020). Microalgae as a promising and sustainable nutrition source for managed honey bees. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 104(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.21658>
- Rumin, J., Nicolau, É., Oliveira-Júnior, R. G. d., Fuentes-Grünwald, C., & Picot, L. (2020). Analysis of scientific research driving microalgae market opportunities in europe. *Marine Drugs*, 18(5), 264. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md18050264>
- Saadaoui, I., Rasheed, R., Aguilar, A., Cherif, M., Jabri, H. A., Sayadi, S., & Manning, S. R. (2021). Microalgal-based feed: promising alternative feedstocks for livestock and poultry production. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00593-z>





- Sarma, S., Sharma, S., Rudakiya, D. M., Upadhyay, J., Rathod, V., Patel, A., ... & Narra, M. (2021). Valorization of microalgae biomass into bioproducts promoting circular bioeconomy: a holistic approach of bioremediation and biorefinery. *3 Biotech*, 11(8). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-021-02911-8>
- Souza, C. M. M., Bastos, T. S., & Santos, M. C. d. (2021). Microalgae use in animal nutrition. *Research, Society and Development*, 10(16), e53101622986. <https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v10i16.22986>
- Sui, Y. and Vlaeminck, S. E. (2018). Effects of salinity, pH and growth phase on the protein productivity by *Dunaliella salina*. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 94(4), 1032-1040. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5850>
- Wild, K., Steingäß, H., & Rodehutschord, M. (2018). Variability of in vitro ruminal fermentation and nutritional value of cell-disrupted and nondisrupted microalgae for ruminants. *GCB Bioenergy*, 11(1), 345-359. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12539>
- Yaakob, M. A., Mohamed, R. M. S. R., Gokare, R. A., & Ambati, R. R. (2021). Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on microalgal growth, biomass, lipid, and fatty acid production: an overview. *Cells*, 10(2), 393. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10020393>
- Yaakob, Z., Ali, E., Zainal, A., Mohamad, M., & Takriff, M. S. (2014). An overview: biomolecules from microalgae for animal feed and aquaculture. *Journal of Biological Research-Thessaloniki*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2241-5793-21-6>
- Zakaria, M. F., Haris, N., Wahid, M. E. A., Katayama, T., & Jusoh, M. (2020). Isolation and Nile red screening of indigenous microalgae species from Pahang lakes as potential lipid source in aquaculture feed. *Malaysian Applied Biology*, 49(4), 149-156. <https://doi.org/10.55230/mabjournal.v49i4.1606>

